

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 10/707,854 01/16/2004 Janusz Murakowski 00131-00281-US1 1853 30678 08/08/2006 **EXAMINER** 7590 CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP TOLEDO, FERNANDO L SUITE 800 **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER 1990 M STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20036-3425 2823

DATE MAILED: 08/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a prival be timely filed after SiX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the meaninum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 139). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any seamed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.794(b). Status 1) ★ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 July 2006. 2a) ★ This action is FINAL. 2b) ★ This action is non-final. 3) ★ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ★ Claim(s) 5-15 and 17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above daim(s) ★ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ★ Claim(s) 5-15 is/are allowed. 6) ★ Claim(s) 5 is/are rejected. 7) ★ Claim(s) 5 is/are rejected. 7) ★ Claim(s) 17 is/are objected to. 8) ★ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 4pplication Papers 9) ★ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1216(a). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.			Application	No.	Applicant(s)		
Fernando L Toledo Z823 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Eight-nation of time may be variable under the provision of 37 CFR 1 138(p. in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed enter SV (s) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. 1 NO period for reply is specified above, the mainting date of this communication. 2 NO period for reply is specified above, the mainting date of this communication. 2 NO period for reply is specified above, the mainting date of this communication is provided will apply and will expire SIX (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any variance patent terms adjustment. See 37 GFR 1.704(b). Status 1) \(\times \times \text{Responsive} to communication(s) filed on 12 July 2006. 2a) \(\times \times \text{ This action is FINAL.} \) 2b) \(\times \text{ This action is FINAL.} \) 2b) \(\times \text{ This action is FINAL.} \) 2b) \(\times \text{ This action is fin condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) \(\times \times \text{ Claim(s)} \frac{5}{5} \text{ is far are plending in the application.} \) 4a) Of the above daim(s) \(\times \text{ is far are withdrawn from consideration.} \) 5) \(\times \text{ Claim(s)} \frac{5}{5} \text{ is far are plending in the application and/or election requirement.} \) Application Papers 9) \(\times \text{ the specification is objected to by the Examiner.} \) 10) \(\times \text{ the drawing(s)} \text{ if the drawing(s)} \text{ is objected to . See 37 CFR 1.121(c)} \) 11) \(\times \text{ the drawing sheet(s)} including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to .	Office Action Summary		10/707,854		MURAKOWSKI ET AL.		
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Editorison of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.735(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled. Electrostom of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.735(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled. Electrostom of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.735(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled. Electrostom of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.735(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three manulis after the malling date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any camerad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 12 July 2005. 2a) ■ This action is FiNAL. 2b) ■ This action is non-final. 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claim(s)			Examiner		Art Unit		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ③ MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SK (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. § NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and lisquite SK (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. § NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and lisquite SK (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. (a provided by the Office of 12 July 2006.) Average received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any evened patient term edjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 July 2006. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the men'ts is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 5-15 and 17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) □ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5b) □ Claim(s) 6-15 is/are allowed. 6c) □ Claim(s) 5 is/are rejected. 7c) □ Claim(s) 17 is/are objected to. 8c) □ Claim(s) 5 is/are rejected to. 8c) □ Claim(s) 7 is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10c) □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 11□ □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Applic			Fernando L.	Toledo	2823		
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extractions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply reviewed by the Office later than from emoths after the mailing date of this communication, and reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 139). Any reply reviewed by the Office later than from emoths after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any summed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 12 July 2006. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 5.15 and 17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) 5.15 is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 5.15 is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 5.15 is/are rejected to. 8) □ Claim(s) 5.15 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) 5.15 is/are objected to. 8) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers is a part of request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(c. 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12. □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	\cdot						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 July 2006. 2a) ↑ This action is FINAL. 2b) ↑ This action is non-final. 3) ↑ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ↑ Claim(s) 5-15 and 17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ↑ Claim(s) 6-15 is/are allowed. 6) ↑ Claim(s) 6-15 is/are allowed. 6) ↑ Claim(s) 17 is/are objected to. 8) ↑ Claim(s) 17 is/are objected to. 8) ↑ Claim(s) 17 is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ↑ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ↑ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ↑ accepted or b) ↑ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(c). 11) ↑ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ↑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ↑ All b) ↑ Some * c) ↑ None of: 1. ○ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ○ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 5-15 and 17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6-15 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 5 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 17 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 17 is/are objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(c) 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Status						
4) Claim(s) 5-15 and 17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 6-15 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 5 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 17 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(c) 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 July 2006. 2a) ⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is 						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6-15 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 5 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 17 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 17 is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(cnumer in the order of the order in the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Disposition of Claims						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6-15 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 5 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 17 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	1) Notic 2) Notic Notic 3) Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5	Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P	ate	·O-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Scherer et al. (U. S. Patent 6,711,200 B1).
- 3. In re claim 5, Scherer, in the U. S. Patent 6,711,200 B1; figures 1A 21F discloses providing a substrate 14; applying a first film on the substrate 10; applying a second film on the first film 20; exposing a pattern including a plurality of holes on the second film (Figure 1B); developing the exposed pattern using a solvent (Column 5, Lines 1 5), wherein a dissolution rate of the first film in the solvent is greater than a dissolution rate of the second film in the solvent (Figures 1B 1D), wherein a development time of the exposed pattern is selected to form a continuously suspended membrane from undissolved portions of the second film, said continuously suspended membrane being separated from the substrate by a void area (Figure 1D).

Art Unit: 2823

Claim Objections

4. Claims 17 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 6 - 15 are allowed over the prior art of record.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 12 July 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive for the following reasons.

Applicant contests that Scherer discloses more steps than those claimed by Applicant. Examiner respectfully submits that the transitional term "comprising", which is synonymous with "including," "containing," or "characterized by," is inclusive or open-ended and does not exclude additional, unrecited elements or method steps. Moleculon Research Corp. v. CBS, Inc. 793 F.2d 1261, 229 USPQ 805 (Fed. Cir. 1986); In re Baxter, 656 F.2d 679, 686, 210 USPQ 795, 803 (CCPA 1981); Ex parte Davis, 80 USPQ 448, 450 (Bd. App. 1948)("comprising" leaves "the claim open for the inclusion of unspecified ingredients even in major amounts").

The transitional phrase "consisting of" excludes any element, step, or ingredient not specified in the claim. In re Gray, 53 F.2d 520, 11 USPQ 255 (CCPA 1931); Ex parte Davis, 80 USPQ 448, 450 (Bd. App. 1948) ("consisting of" defined as "closing the claim to the inclusion of materials other than those recited except for impurities ordinarily associated therewith.").

Application/Control Number: 10/707,854

Art Unit: 2823

Transitional phrases such as "composed of," "having," or "being" must be interpreted in light of the specification to determine whether open or closed claim language is intended. See, e.g., Regents of the Univ. of Cal. v. Eli Lilly & Co., 119 F.3d 1559, 1573, 43 USPQ2d 1398, 1410 (Fed. Cir. 1997), cert. denied, 118 S. Ct. 1548 (1998)(In the context of a cDNA having a sequence coding for human PI, the term "having" still permitted inclusion of other moieties.). A claim which depends from a claim which "consists of" the recited elements or steps cannot add an element or step.

When the phrase "consists of" appears in a clause of the body of a claim, rather than immediately following the preamble, it limits only the element set forth in that clause; other elements are not excluded from the claim as a whole. Mannesmann Demag Corp. v. Engineered Metal Products Co., 793 F.2d 1279, 230 USPQ 45 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

The transitional phrase "consisting essentially of" limits the scope of a claim to the specified materials or steps "and those that do not materially affect the basic and novel characteristic(s)" of the claimed invention. In re Herz, 537 F.2d 549, 551-52, 190 USPQ 461, 463 (CCPA 1976) (emphasis in original)(Prior art hydraulic fluid required a dispersant which appellants argued was excluded from claims limited to a functional fluid "consisting essentially of" certain components. In finding the claims did not exclude the prior art ispersant, the court noted that appellants' specification indicated the claimed composition can contain any well-known additive such as a dispersant, and there was no evidence that the presence of a dispersant would materially affect the basic and novel characteristic of the claimed invention. The prior art composition had the same basic and novel characteristic (increased oxidation resistance) as well as additional enhanced detergent and dispersant characteristics.). "A consisting essentially of"

Application/Control Number: 10/707,854

Art Unit: 2823

claim occupies a middle ground between closed claims that are written in a consisting of format and fully open claims that are drafted in a comprising' format." PPG Industries v. Guardian Industries, 156 F.3d 1351, 1354, 48 USPQ2d 1351, 1353-54 (Fed. Cir. 1998). See also Atlas Powder Co. v. E.I. duPont de Nemours & Co., 750 F.2d 1569, 224 USPQ 409 (Fed. Cir. 1984); in re Janakirama-Rao, 317 F.2d 951, 137 USPQ 893 (CCPA 1963); Water Technologies Corp. v. Calco, Ltd., 850 F.2d 660, 7 USPQ2d 1097 (Fed. Cir. 1988). For search and examination purposes, absent a clear indication in the specification of what the basic and novel characteristics actually are, "consisting essentially of' will be construed as equivalent to "comprising." See, e.g., PPG, 156 F.3d at 1355, 48 USPQ at 1355 ("PPG could have defined the scope of the phrase consisting essentially of for purposes of its patent by making clear in its specification what it regarded as constituting a material change in the basic and novel characteristics of the invention."). When an applicant contends that additional steps or materials in the prior art are excluded by the recitation of "consisting essentially of," applicant has the burden of showing that the introduction of additional steps or components would materially change the characteristics of applicant's invention. In re De Lajarte, 337 F.2d 870, 143 USPQ 256 (CCPA 1964). See also Ex parte Hoffman, 12 USPQ2d 1061, 1063-64 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989)("Although consisting essentially of is typically used and defined in the context of compositions of matter, we find nothing intrinsically wrong with the use of such language as a modifier of method steps. . . [rendering] the claim open only for the inclusion of steps which do not materially affect the basic and novel characteristics of the claimed method. To determine the steps included versus excluded the claim must be read in light of the specification. . . [I]t is an applicant's burden to

Application/Control Number: 10/707,854

Art Unit: 2823

establish that a step practiced in a prior art method is excluded from his claims by 'consisting essentially of language.").

Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fernando L. Toledo whose telephone number is 571-272-1867. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 12pm-7:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Smith can be reached on 571-272-1907. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2823

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) 97-577-272-4090.

Fernando L. Toledo Patent Examiner Art Unit 2823

flt

1 August 2006